

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/099,742	06/18/1998	LUKE Y. CHANG	062986.0112	7813
7.	590 08/08/2003			
STEVEN J. ROCCI WOODCOCK, WASHBURN, KURTZ,MACKIEWICZ & NORRIS LL ONE LIBERTY PLACE			EXAMINER	
			MEHRA, INDER P	
46TH FLOOR	46TH FLOOR PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	171,171 17103		2666	13
			DATE MAILED: 08/08/2003	' /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		_				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
,	09/099,742	CHANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Inder P Mehra	2666				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	I36(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rly (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 201	<u>May 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Th	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1 20 22 and 23 is/are pending in the	application					
4) Claim(s) 1-30,32 and 33 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) <u>27-30 and 33</u> is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-20,23-26 and 32</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 9,21 and 22 is/are objected to.						
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/oApplication Papers	or election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 August 2002</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has b	een received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) .				

Application/Control Number: 09/099,742

Art Unit: 2666

Response to Amendment

1. This is in response to amendment dated 5/20/03, Amended claims 1 (thrice, in amendments B, C and D), 3 (thrice, in amendments B, C and D), 8 (twice, in amendments C and D), 13 (thrice, in amendments B, C and D), 15(thrice, in amendments B, C and D), 20 (once in amendment C) and 27 (twice, in amendments B and C) have been entered. Further, claim 31 having been cancelled (in amendment C) is noted. Pending claims are 1-30 and 32-33

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 4-6, 13-15, and 16-18, are rejected under 35 U.S. C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Franaszek et al** (US Patent No.5,729,228), hereinafter Franaszek in view of **Bigham** (US Patent No.5,544,161), and further in view of **Rostoker et al** (US Patent No. 5,872,784), hereinafter, Rostoker, and **Auld** (US Patent No. 5,686,965).

Regarding claims 1, 3, 13 and 15, Franaszek discloses, in reference to figs. 1, 2 and 3, a method for parallel compression and decompression, refer to col. 2, lines 35-47; and

Franaszek discloses, in reference to fig. 2, col. 2 line 51, the following:

- bitstream separated into blocks (b 1 221, b2 222, b3 223 and b4 224, called components);

Application/Control Number: 09/099,742

Art Unit: 2666

- uses compression algorithm (col 1, lines 36-39) and encodes the blocks using compression algorithm (refer to col. 3, lines 25-27 and 62-64);

- in fig. 3, the compressed block is divided into sections by the splitter 330 (separating packets from the packetized bitstream); the compressed block is divided into sections by the splitter 330 which uses "prefix area" which further uses compressed length (derived from header information) (separated from the bit srteam using the header information), refer to col. 2 lines 60-65, col. 3 lines 20-25.
- illustrating how a previously compressed block 260 is decompressed using parallel decompression, refer to col. 3, lines 16-17 and further, illustrates in fig. 7 parallel decompression and decodes the packets, refer to col. 5, lines 33-45;
- updating the corresponding portions (constructing the plurality of components from the recovered encoded data), refer to col. 5, lines 55-57; and
- consolidates the components via output data combiner 841 in fig. 8 (combining the plurality of components to recover the bit stream).

Franaszek does not disclose expressly a packet comprises header information and encoded data; and combining the packets into a packetized encoded bitstream;

Bigham discloses digital encoder MPEG-2 118, fig. 2, and transport stream packet (bit stream packet) which consists of header section and payload section and are identified by

program identification number (packet comprises header information and encoded data), refer to col. 10, lines 59-64 and col. 11, lines 32-40. Further, Bigham discloses combined ATM bit stream before transport to ATM edge multiplexer120 or SONET MUX122, refer to fig. 2 and refer to col. 11, lines 50-53.

Franaszek in view of Bigham does not disclose expressly encoding components of pixels using compression algorithm; and length in header information;

Rostoker discloses encoder estimates motion vectors for each 16x16 macroblock in the picture. Each macroblock consists of a 16-pixel by 16 line section of luminance *component* and two spatially corresponding 8-pixel by 8-line sections, one for each *chrominance component*. Motion vectors, which give the displacement from the stored previous picture, are encoded in the MPEG bitstream.

Further, Rostoker discloses "predict the occurrence of start codes 385 in the system bitstream 373 based on the length field of the previously occurring header 381, see step 408 of fig. 12" (length in the header information, as recited in amended claims 1,3,13 an15), refer to col. 15 lines 42-45;

Auld also discloses, "packet data containing emulation of sync codes of other bit stream data; system synchronization 62 will continue to **predict the occurrence of video sync code in** the bit stream (predictor), refer to col. 10 lines 46-67;

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ Auld's twopart synchronization scheme, Rostoker's high speed digital network apparatus and Bigham's video distribution network into Franaszek's parallel Compression and Decompression in order to have packets used for parallel compression and decompression. The suggestion/ motivation to do

so would have been obvious to have ATM packets which provide greater flexibility in enabling MPEG-2 encoding and synchronization. Further, it is obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to understand that scan lines inherently include pixels which inherently include components, as recited in specifications, refer to specification page 17 and lines 7-8. This combination will provide synchronization of data packets efficiently and reliably.

Regarding claims 2, 4, 14 and 16, Franaszek does not disclose bit stream digitized graphics or video frame; and the digitized graphics and video frames for display.

Bigham discloses graphics and video information in digital signals, refer to col. 4, lines 35-40, and col. 23 line 15; and digitized graphics and video for display, (refer to col. 31, lines 414.

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ Bigham's video distribution network into Franaszek's parallel Compression and Decompression in order to provide video and graphics in digital stream to facilitate parallel compression and Decompression. The suggestion/ motivation to do so would have been logical to have ATM packets which provide greater flexibility in enabling MPEG-2 encoding.

Regarding claims 5-6 and 17-18, Franaszek discloses encoding the components using Lempel Ziv compression (Lossless compression algorithm), refer to col. 1, lines 35-38.

4. Claims 7-8, 10-12, 19-20, 23-26 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Franaszek et al (US Patent No.5,729,228), hereinafter Franaszek in view of

Bigham (US Patent No. 5,544,161), and further in view of Rostoker et al (US Patent No. 5,872,784), hereinafter, Auld (US Patent No. 5,686,965)Rostoker and Schwartz et al (US Patent No. 5,717,394), hereinafter Schwartz.

Regarding claims 7-8, 10, 19-20 and 23, Franaszek discloses, "wherein the header information----comprises an alignment, refer to col. 10 line 45;

Franaszek in view of Bigham, Rostoker and Auld do not disclose expressly constructing packets from the encoded components including both variable length and fixed length packets.

Schwartz discloses both variable length codewords (16 packets, fig. 4), refer to col. 28, lines 51-54, and fixed length packets, refer to col. 28, lines 56-57.

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ Schwartz's apparatus for encoding and decoding data into Franaszek's parallel Compression and Decompression in order to provide parallel encoding and decoding. The suggestion/ motivation to do so would have been logical to remove bit level manipulation of the data stream and thus increase the speed of processing by parallelization method.

Regarding claims 11-12 and 24-25, Franaszek in view of Bigham, Rostoker and Auld do not disclose expressly header information including tag; and distribution of packets to separate decode units on the basis of tag.

Schwartz discloses, in reference to fig. 3, a preface header containing pointers (tag) to the beginning of bit location of each bit stream ,refer to col. 8, lines 21-22; and retrieval of packets from the proper location via proper pointer (tag), refer to col. 8, lines 29-31.

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ Auld's system, Schwartz's apparatus for encoding and decoding data into Franaszek's parallel Compression and Decompression in order to provide parallel encoding and decoding. The suggestion/ motivation to do so would have been logical to remove bit level manipulation of the data stream and thus increase the speed of processing by parallelization method while maintaining efficiency of compression and decompression.

Regarding claim 26, Franaszek in view of Bigham, Rostoker and Auld do not disclose expressly queue to receive packetized encoded data in bit stream.

Schwartz discloses the use of queue to allow ordered data stream, refer to col. 19 lines 59-64.

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ Auld's system, Schwartz's apparatus for encoding and decoding data into Franaszek's parallel Compression and Decompression in order to provide parallel encoding and decoding. The suggestion/ motivation to do so would have been logical to remove bit level manipulation of the data stream and thus increase the speed of processing by parallelization method while maintaining efficiency of compression and decompression.

Regarding claim 32, Franaszek in view of Bigham, Rostoker and Auld do not disclose expressly scan line as HDTV line.

Schwartz discloses HDTV as excellent choice for the system of his invention, refer to col. 56 and lines 25-35;

To a person of ordinary skill in the art, it is obvious to have scan line comprising HDTV line in HDTV system. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ Schwartz's apparatus for encoding and decoding data into Franaszek's parallel Compression and Decompression in order to provide parallel encoding and decoding. The suggestion/ motivation to do so would have been logical to include HDTV system application into video distribution network. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use decoding system coupled to compressed image data system in order to provide transformation and subsampling portion of HDTV decoder.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 27-30 and 33 are allowed.
- 6. Claims 9 and 21-22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-30, 32-33 have been considered but are not persuasive.
- a. Applicant argues that examiner failed to establish *a prima facie* case of obviousness and that the applicant has amended the claims; further request to reconsider the claimed invention.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some

teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ Auld's two-part synchronization scheme, Rostoker's high speed digital network apparatus and Bigham's video distribution network into Franaszek's parallel Compression and Decompression in order to have packets used for parallel compression and decompression. The suggestion/motivation to do so would have been obvious to have ATM packets which provide greater flexibility in enabling MPEG-2 encoding and synchronization. Further, it is obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to understand that scan lines inherently include pixels which inherently include components, as recited in specifications, refer to specification page 17 and lines 7-8. This combination will provide synchronization of data packets efficiently and reliably.

b. Applicant argues that examiner has not considered the claim language,"at least one packet comprises header information and encoded data and wherein the header information comprises a length".

In response it is stated that Rostoker discloses *the header information comprises a length*, refer to (header length) col. 14 lines 10-12; and length field and header381 (step 408), refer to col. 15 lines 40-45.

c. Applicant argues that examiner has provided no citation from any of the cited reference teachings that packets are separated from the bit srteam using the header information.

In response, it is stated that Franaszek discloses, in fig. 3, the compressed block is divided into sections by the splitter 330 which uses "prefix area" which further uses compressed length (derived from header information) (separated from the bit srteam using the header information), refer to col. 2 lines 60-65, col. 3 lines 20-25. This limitation was addressed in previous office action at page 3 paragraph 4.

In view of above explanations, the arguments by the applicant are not persuasive.

Conclusion

8. Any enquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Inder Mehra whose telephone number is (703)305-1985. The examiner can be normally reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone is unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao, can be reached on (703)308-5463. Any enquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application or processing should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-4700.

Inder Mehra

8/1/0

August 1, 2003

CANSTON Canadan